	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/044,404	HUBBELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven D. Maki	1733
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Steven D. Maki</u> .	(3)	
(2) Nancy Krawczyk.	(4)	!
Date of Interview: 26 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
none		
Claims discussed:		
6 and 9		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
How & Make		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/044,404

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed changes to claim 6 to make it clear that the formulas are used in the method of forming a pneumatic radial tire. Examiner also proposed correcting minor informalites in claim 6, correcting the dependency of claim 9, changing the title, and deleting the prior art legend in figure 1 since page 4 of specification describes figure 1 as being a cross section profile of a tire of the invention. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes set forth in the examiner's amendment.